

E-mail:mesbai75@yahoo.com

Website: www.mesbai.in

**MES BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA (REGD)**  
**807-808, Sahyog 58, Nehru Place, New Delhi-110019**

**Dispute Redressal and Reconciliation Committee ( DRRC ) 2023-25**

Ref. No. MBA / DRRC /2023 -2025 / 118

Date 03 Jan 2026

**MINUTES OF THE DRRC MEETING HELD ON 26 Dec 2025**

Dear members

The DRRC Virtual Conference ( VC ) meeting held on 26 Dec 2025 between 10 AM to 01 PM on Google meet and the following were present.

1. Sh Lakhbir Singh Chairman DRRC 2023-25
2. Sh Surjeet Singh Member DRRC 2023-25
3. Sh Papinder Gujral Member DRRC 2023-25
4. Sh Sanjay Mittal Member DRRC 2023-25
5. Sh Ajay Jain Member DRRC 2023-25
6. Sh N K Gupta. Elected CEC in Council meeting held on 02 June 2025 New Delhi
7. Sh R M Singhvi Elected EC in Council meeting held on 02 June 2025 New Delhi
8. Sh Prem Roy. Elected EC in Council meeting held on 02 June 2025 New Delhi
9. Sh Rajeev Chowdhry Defendant in Court case
10. Sh Kumar Ashok Defendant in Court case
11. Sh Harpal Singh Defendant in Court case
12. Sh Atul Prashar Applicant in Court Case
13. Sh Lalit Goel Chairman Representing Executive Body of Delhi Branch
14. Sh Parmod Tomar Hony Secy Representing Executive Body of Delhi Branch
15. Sh Ranbir Bawa Applicant in court case
16. Sh Parvesh Mittal Applicant in court case
17. Sh V P Sanjeev Applicant in DRRC
18. Sh Naresh Viz Applicant in DRRC
19. Sh Peeyush Misra Applicant in DRRC
20. Sh Atul Arora Applicant in DRRC
21. Sh Ashok Upadhayay Hony secy Agra Branch/
22. Sh Ramvir Chahar Applicant in DRRC
23. Sh Sukhdev Samual Office Secy HQ MES BAI.

The following members invited for the meeting were absent.

- 1 Sh G S Mago EX Officio member of DRRC and President 2023-25 term
- 2 Sh Sandeep Srivastava Ex Officio member of DRRC and Hony Gen Secy 2023-25 term .
- 3 Sh Parveen Mahana. Past President & Elected CEC in Council meeting held in Bhopal.

4	Dh Amit Bhaduri	Pat President and nominated EC in Bhopal Meeting
5	Sh Naveen Yadav	Nominated EC in Bhopal meeting.
6	Sh Omkar Saini	Delhi Branch
7	Sh Chetan Tyagi	Delhi Branch
8	Sh Parmod Kumar	Delhi Branch
9	Sh Amritpal singh	Delhi Branch
10	Sh Ajay Mitter Bhagirath	Pathankot Branch.

The grievances were received from the following builder members :

1	Sh V P Sanjeev	Chirman Ranchi Branch.
2	Sh Peeyush Misra	Council Member Bhopal Branch
3	Sh Naresh Viz	Chairman Nagpur Branch
4	Sh Ramvir Chahar	Member Agra Branch.
5	Sh Atul Arora	Member Bareilly branch.

Sh Lakhbir Singh welcome all the builder members present in the meeting and also stated that besides absence of ex officio members few invited members we pray that we could reach at an amicable solution within the parameters of status quo orders of 14 Aug 2025 by Hon able Delhi High Court.

So as per the Grievances received I request you to note that we will take up the issues of resolving the disputes regarding court cases a

1. Since the two year term of present team of office bearers have lapsed and suitable alternate arrangement be made or to call a council meeting to take the suitable verdict to run the day to day administration of the Association and to resolve the issue of all court cases and to reconsider the award / Advisory issued .
2. To take up the issue of termination of M/S Rahul construction of AGRA branch.
3. Any other matter with the permission of Chair.

Sh Lakhbir Singh sated that all members present may submit their views to resolve the issues in interest of association so that amicably elections of association can be held as per the intend of Hona bale High court to resolve the disputes amongst the members of association. And all present here to maintain the decorum of the meeting.

It is also pertinent to mention here that DRRC is a statutory body to resolve all the disputes amongst the builders or branches or HQ and its decision is FINAL and BINDING. The internal mechanism of a statutory body within the association was only adopted with this aim that members should not go to the courts without exhausting DRRC.

So DRRC has under the provisions of MOA have taken this opportunity after receiving the grievances , to resolve all disputes amongst the member of association and withdraw all court cases related to elections of the association which is also the intend of Hon able High court May the mebers submit their views one by one.

"If any member or group of members feel aggrieved by any matter, he/they can approach the "Dispute Redress & Reconciliation Committee (DRRC) at Headquarter immediately but not later than 15 days,

who will give decision within 60 days. The decision of the DRRC in the matter will be final and binding for all “

DRRC shall decide the matter pertaining to all disputes and the decision of DRRC shall be final and binding on all the parties. The DRRC shall have all the powers as per the Arbitration Act, 1996 and shall settle the matter within 2 months of referring of the dispute.

**Sh Rajeev Chowdry** Stated that as per MOA Clause no 11.g.ix . that the entire team of office bearers will retire automatically after the Bi – AGM of each election year and the newly elected office bearers will be installed by the EC immediately thereafter even the election of office bearers will be over and the newly elected office bearers will be installed by the EC.\_So there is no need of taking any verdict, whenever the elections will be held the charge will be given to the newly elected team and there are no other provisions so there is no need of any other provision.

**Sh Rajeev Chowdhry** also wanted to know that why Sh V P Sanjeev, Sh Peeyush Misra, Sh Naresh Vij are in present in meeting or have submitted grievances and have any of the petitioners or respondents have approached the DRRC. If no then there is no matter of discussion in the DRRC.

**Sh V P Sanjiv** stated that I have represented in DRRC , after the decision taken by my Ranchi branch where all the day to day functioning is held up and decided to approach the DRRC as including of all the other branches / members are aggrieved due to the court cases and the aims for which the association is functioning are forfeited and the applicants / portioners or respondents may be approached to resolve the issue. As many attempts of mediation have failed both the groups are not interested in resolving the disputes , as per MOA we are approaching the internal mechanism of the association where the decision of DRRC will be Final and Binding. As per MOA, , Election of HQ office bearer has to be completed within the month of July of each election year and thus the elected office bearer will take over in the installation ceremony in 1st council meeting but not later than 31st July of election year. when the two year term of office bearers 23-25 is already over a managing committee or ad hoc committee to run the administration of the association be formed and the association can convene a council meeting where such day to day administrative decisions can be taken , the council may give some suitable verdict to run the assertion under there never before and extra ordinary situation arises in the association due to court case. A council meeting must be called immediately. This should have been called immediately after 31<sup>st</sup> July 2025 a suitable decision taken.

**Sh Lakhbir singh** Stated that DRRC has received few emails two from Sh Atul Prashar, three from Sh Rajeev Chowdhry, One email / letter from EC ( Election commission ) comprising Sh Parveen Mahahna, Sh Amit Bhaduri, Sh Naveen Yadav ) , another WhatsApp mgs and email of Sh Amritpal Delhi branch and one email of Sh Ajay Mitter Same letter as Sh Amritpal singh has sent signed jointly by both of them and also by Sh Pramod Kumar. Two letters from Sh G S Mago through email from MES HQ objecting to the allegations levelled in CEC letter and another against letter of Sh Chetan Tyagi which DRRC has not received and WhatsApp messages of Sh G S Mago at 9.30 AM today just before start of this meeting. All are taken on record.

It is very important to mention here that these emails besides alleging each other , apprehended that the convening this meeting of DRRC may not be a contempt to the court orders issued by the Hon, able Court on 14 Aug 2025. He Said we all are law abiding people and It is again reiterated that as per MOA provisions DRRC (Dispute redressal and reconciliation committee ) is the internal mechanism of

the MES Builders Association of India to resolve all disputes of the association and the decision is of DRRC is final and binding., to avoid any court cases / external interference in the matters of Association. Since there is no debarment on conducting mediations, any attempt to resolve the issue of court cases by the association itself , or any day to day working , administrative working, meeting the department in concern of policy matters or meetings with the department.

May this be brought in the kind attention of all the members present in the meeting and it is for those also who have chosen to keep themselves absent form this meeting to resolve the disputes.

Besides these five cases pending in the Delhi High Court various other court cases are pending in Delhi High court and District / session court Delhi which are not directly related to the disputes of elections of the branches / HQ MES BAI.

- 1 O.M.P.-3/2025 I.A. 1 At DELHI HIGH COURT Sh PARAVEEN MAHANA & others V/s KUMAR ASHOK & ORS.
- 2 O.M.P.(I)-13/2025 and CCP(O) 95/2025 (contempt Petition) M/S LAIQ RAM VERMA & ANR EXECUTIVE BODY, DELHI BRANCH Vs M.E.S. BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA & ORS
- 3 O.M.P.(I)-14/2025 M/S SHREE RAM BUILD TECH AND ANR Vs PRAVEEN MAHANA AND ORS.
- 4 O.M.P.(I)-15/2025 M/S SURJIT AND SONS & ORS ( Sh AMRITPAL Singh ) of Delhi Branch Vs MES BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA & ORS
- 5 O.M.P.(I)-11/2025 & I.A. 14572/2025 Delhi High Court M/S Ramapatti Enger ( Sh Atul Prashar ) Vs Dehradun Branch , CEC ( Bhopal ) & HO MES BAI.

Further to this As per direction / court orders issued vide the Hon able Delhi High court has 14 Aug 2025 that all parties will maintain the status que till the next date of hearing ( which is continuing till date ) regarding upcoming elections of the association.

Also this is to brought into the knowledge of all members that following attempts were made to resolve the disputes amongst the members of association.

**FIRST ATTEMPT** : : HQ Though Sh G S Mago and Hony Gen Secy Sh Sandeep Srivastava ( term 23-25 ) called a meeting of all the Past Presidents and Hony Gen secy on 24 May 2025. at HQ MES BAI Nehru Place New Delhi

**SECOND ATTEMPT** : Hon able Delhi High Court in an order on consent of both the parties appointed a Mediator Hon able Mr Justice Vipin Sanghi Formerly Chief Justice of High Court of Uttarakhand) and an amount of Rs Four Lakhs was also paid. Vide orders dt 14 June 2025.

**THIRD ATTEMPT** : Again as per Hon able Delhi High Court in an order on consent of both the parties appointed a Mediator Hon able Mr Justice Vipin Sanghi Formerly Chief Justice of High Court of Uttarakhand) and an amount of Rs Four Lakhs was also paid. Vide orders dt 05 July 2025.

**FOURTH ATTEMPT** : A meeting pf all the office bearers of term 2023-25 was held in the HQ office at Nehru Place New Delhi on 08 Aug 2025.

**AFTER 14 AUG 2025**

**FIFTH ATTEMPT** : A meeting pf all the office bearers of term 2023-25 was held in the HQ office at Nehru Place New Delhi on 04 Sept 2025.

**SIXTH ATTEMPT** : : Mr Peeyush Misra , a senior member of Bhopal branch approached both the parties at New Delhi. In September

**SEVENTH ATTEMPT** : Mr Badri Mander along with Sh Sandeep Srivastava approached the other party for reconciliation at Jodhpur 21<sup>st</sup> Nov 2025.

**EIGHTH ATTEMPT** : Under instructions from the **Hon able court** Advocate Moodasar Khan and Advocate both the counsel for each party took the initiative and convened a meeting on 26 Nov 2025 at PHD Chamber of commerce.

Today it's the **NINTH ATTEMPT** made by the DRRC ( Dispute redressal and conciliation committee which is the only internal mechanism of the association to resolve disputes of the association including election and the decision of which is final and binding , of the association is being convened to resolve the issue.

But it is a sad part that the persons belonging to one particular party are not attending this meeting. The DRRC chairman have sent the emails and also discussed the matter to most of the persons involved in the court case including Mr Parveen Mahana, Mr Amit Bhadur, Mr Naveen Yadav, Mr Chetan Tyagi , Mr Amritpal Sing to attend the meeting and support in any agreeable decision to both the parties. But their absence has not given a positive intend to resolve the issues regarding court cases rather through their letters received from them imposing allegations and without any mutually agreed settlement. It is also appears that one party is not intending to close the issues at an amicable resolved decision rather personal egos are emerging before the interest of association.

Moreover **AFTER 14 AUG 2025** , despite of this, the dissolved CEC ( Sh Parveen Mahana , Sh Amit Bhaduri, Sh Naveen Yadav ) digitally operated through email (naming AMIT BHADURI) and conducted with the election process in branches, where all the branch members were involved . All matter of record.

Besides issuing numerous letters to branches regarding elections , Including termination letters of Sh G S Mago President 23-25 and Sh Lakhbir Singh DRRC chairman 23-25.

The HQ also continued to work , meeting department offices , Farewell to E-in-C on 27 Aug 2025, Farewell to Jt DG on 30 Oct 2025. Various letters written by Sh G S Mago, Sh Sandeep Srivastava and CEC ( Bhopal ) after 14 Aug 2025. Various branches published election related matter on social media.

So, there is no embargo due to status quo on administrative matters and mediations and effort / attempts to resolve the bottleneck in conducting elections of Association. We are also being a statutory body of association under Arbitration and conciliation act ( 1996) trying to resolve the issue as per the intend shown by the Hon able court during various court hearings mentioned here in above.



**DRRC Chairman** also Shared the disputes communicated to DRRC by Sh Rajeev Chowdhry, Sh Harpal singh, Sh Atul Arora, Sh Lalit Goel, Sh N K Verma ( Delhi Br ) , Sh Rajesh Kumar ( Tezpur ), Sh Manoj EC of Karwar, Delhi branch, Sh Atul Prashar Dehradun Branch , various irregularities reported regarding Jabalpur, and various case of Non adherence of MOA provision, digitally taking over of HQ office, after the elections process was initiated by CEC ( Bhopal )

- 1 Interference in the responsibilities of EC and elections of Delhi branch.
- 2 The approval of patron membership of Sh Chetan Tyagi Firm by CEC
- 3 Interference in responsibilities of Karwar Branch.
- 4 Approval of nomination of council members of 2023-25 who have not attended five council meetings, which is against the provision of MOA.
- 5 Non updating list of membership of Bareilly branch ( Newly approved members are not included in the list )
- 6 Adding names of newly approved members of Mathura branch which were approved with effect from 01st April 2025.
- 7 Decision on Sh G S Oberoi of Jabalpur Branch.
- 8 Tezpur branch Elections.
- 9 Updating the election result of Naziabad Branch which was approved by Council on 09<sup>th</sup> April 2025 council meeting subject to certain confirmations of dues and membership from other branches.
- 10 Membership list of Dehradun branch in which the name of M/S Rampati Engineering services was not included .
- 11 Digitally taking over the HQ by changing all Passwords, web site , emails etc.
- 12 And various others.

DRRC chairman stated that following steps are required to avoid disputes in the elections

- 1 The membership / Voter list of branches to be updated.
- 2 HQ office data be updated as per the decisions taken in Council meetings before 31<sup>st</sup> Mar 2025.
- 3 The mutual agreement on use of HQ office for CEC as happening from last 50 years.
- 4 All the elections be conducted as per the provisions of MOA.
- 5 CEC will not interfere in AOR of EC of branches, and not give any such decisions which is beyond their AOR.

Thereafter, the DRRC published award / advisory on 16 May 2025 Sh Rajeev Chowdhry approached the Hon, able court for its execution and the flood of court cases started. Consequently to all these the HQ called a special council meeting and dissolved the CEC elected in Bhopal and Constituted and elected a new election commission comprising Sh N K Gupta as CEC ( Chief Election commissioner ) and Sh R M Singhvi ( Election commissioner and Sh Prem Roy ( Election Commissioner ) to conduct the elections of the association.

As per MOA the term of team elected for Association year 23-25 has already expired on 31 July 2025, as per MOA, the Day to Day technical issues which are required to be taken up with the MES department and other administrative problems including running HQ/ branches office, and to meet

the expenses legitimately there is a need to make some arrangement / alternate arrangement like appointing some ad hoc committee at HQ / Branch level / to make some suitable acceptable functional arrangement till the elections of Branches / HQ are held. One or two HQ office bearers have also send their resignations on whatsapp but others are reluctant to continue. In Indian constitution also whenever the term of MLAs in State Vidhan Sabha or Member Parliament expires the Elections are held before that and in case of any delay the President takes over or make some alternate arrangements to run the system.

**Sh Atul Prashar** thanked DRRC for calling this meeting. He stated that DRRC has invited both the groups / parties as also accepted by the mediators. The persons belonging to one particular group has not attended this meeting . two crucial office bearers and Ex officio member of DRRC have absent themselves. President 23-25 & Hony Gen Secy 23-25 are one to one with both the groups and hand in glove with each other. I have send many email to DRRC that since the elected term of President Hony Gen Secy and all office bearers has lapsed and three other emails to HQ and copy to DRRC stating that a letter from ROC ( registrar of societies ) addressed to Hony Gen Secy was received in HQ office which without opening was forwarded to Hony Gen Secy at his home address at Luckhnow by the Office Staff under manipulation by President and Hony Gen Secy.

He has requested DRRC to take decision on his complaint in which both President an Hony Gen secy have misused the office of MES BAI . please take my allegation on record and to take action on my emails. Secondly this is very much clear that none of the groups are interested in any solution. Hony Gen Secy is from one group named team Victory and President and all others are from so called team Champions. The president should have asked the Hony Gen Secy to table this letter ( Letter from ROS ) officially in MES BAI which he has taken in capacity of Hony Gen Secy of MES BAI from ROS , moreover team Victory has approached the court but never prayed for the appointment of an ADMINISTRATOR by the court. For going through day to day working of MES BAI , it indicates that both all the petitioners and defendants are hand to hand in togetherness and continue enjoying. both groups don't want that present situation should be diluted and they both are misusing platform of MES BAI which is a offence and it is rightly stated that after the decision of 14 Aug 2025 of Hon,able Delhi High court the functioning as President and Hony Gen secy is also come under contempt, whereas President is enjoying at Coimbatore meeting, then this should also come under contempt, but there is no such restriction so a council meeting should be called, ( should have been called earlier ) , I have copy of the letter of Registrar of societies stating that only applicable MOA is of 1978. And I will submit this in next hearing and make all a party to it.

**Sh Peeyush Misra** stated that he is sentimentally attached with the association from last 30 years and like all other ordinary members have full right to approach the DRRC , to resolve the present situation. Objecting to the statement of Sh Rajeev Chowdhry He further stated that he has written to President and hony Gen Secy to resolve this dispute but both did not acted and what next option an ordinary member is left with. It is only our internal mechanism i.e. DRRC which can resolve this issue. An ordinary member doesn't have sufficient financial resources that anyone can approach Hon able courts. All the leaders of the association have failed to understand the sentiments of members of the association that they want to resolve this disputes and elections of branches and HQ should be conducted at the earliest possible.



Mainly this is an ego problem that so called leaders are involved in the personal issues and taking the same on association platform and approaching Hon,able courts. He requested that DRRC should take all possible steps to resolve this issue including calling a meeting / council meeting or appoint any ad hoc arrangement of a admistrator to run the association. HQ office bearers team 2023-25 is not willing to resolve this issue, they are enjoying their position of a defunct association.

**Sh Surjeet Singh** member DRRC stated that he fully agrees with the statement of Sh Atul Prashar and he feels that there is no other option than to appoint an administrator, if this is possible within the MOA frame.

**Sh Naresh Viz** Chairman Nagpur Branch also objected to the statement of Sh Rajeev Chowdhry and stated that they are also very old members of association and are deeply concerned with the present situation and builders are aggrieved . we have no other option than to approach DRRC for relief. A council meeting should be called so that a decision be taken to run the association whatsoever it may be, but it should function smoothly so that builder problems can be addressed.

**Sh Prem Roy** Barrackpore branch we are facing problems with department and he stated that senior members of association should take call to resolve these issues by approaching both the groups and solve this or DRRC should use their final and binding powers to resolve this issues.

**Sh Rajeev Chowdhry** again stated that as per statement of Sh Atul Prashar the MOA is of 2024 or of 1978. If 1978 then there is no DRRC and presently DRRC should comment on this.

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** Stated that till date none of the member of association any petitioner or respondants have submitted this letter in the Hon,able courts, as stated by Mr Atul Prashar he is having this letter and he will submit this in court . DRRC have no comment on this. we all present here in this meeting are following the present MOA which has been amended time to time by various HQ office bearers teams as per the required circumstances duly approved by the General body house of the association. If at any stage Hon able courts may pass any order we will abide by that.

**Sh Lalit Goel** Chairman Delhi Branch stated that at the outset everyone wants that the elections should be held. Everyone knows that CEC elected in Bhopal was acting in a partial manner aligned with one particular group, and the decision taken by the DRRC which is not single person but a group consisting of a group. The statements that DRRC decision was not right, it is totally objectionable and whatever is the decision given by the DRRC is given after taking cognizance of all the facts . The DRRC has given a very judicious decision in its award / advisory . and whatever comments the letter of CEC Dissolved CEC of Sh Parveen Mahane and letter of Sh Amritpal & Others have alleged allegations on HQ and DRRC are totally vague, false and he stated that he condemns the contents of these letters. So there is no question of reconsidering the award / advisory pronounced by the DRRC. .

He further stated that honorable court has also initiated the mediations to resolve the issues by appointing firstly Mr Justice Vipin Sanghi Chief Justice of Uttarakhand (Retd) and then again through counsels of both the groups in PHD chamber of commerce.

**Mr Lalit Goel** further stated that the elections of HQ team 23-25 was elected on current MOA, CEC,s elected are on 2025 MOA, there is no question of MOA of 1978. He also stated that we are not hand in glove with other group we are interested in elections but other team is not cooperating for reasons known to them only. In the case of elections of Delhi branch, we intended for fair elections but we

were targeted by other group, the complete country is the witness . The EC (Sh Parveen Mahana and others ) interfered in Delhi Elections and tried to change our venue. Mr Manik Singhal ( Delhi Branch ) booked a hotel by paying advance of Rs 50000,00 .

Alleging the HQ in these letters is not fair. They have called various mediation meeting besides ordered by Hon able courts , various options were given but the other group did not agreed to any proposals. He said he fails to understand that the opposite group is taking the association in which direction. We should collectively work for the cause of builders . The other group is creating controversies. By not attending today's meeting of DRRC what the other group wants to convey is not understood. The members who could not attend five meetings of council what can be expected from them to serve the association as office bearers / council members of branches.. if there is any provision made in MOA that must be followed in spirit. Our group has not filed any case but all cases have been filed by other group and members of our group are being targeted. He said he is astonished to see the vulgar language used against the President and DRRC also. This meeting should not have been convened as all earlier mediation talks have failed due to other group only. He said he has served the association to the best of his ability but ready for any sacrifice in his part. He is also ready not to contest any election, but some competent and efficient members should come forward. Why the other group is afraid of attending this meeting or not agreed to various option proposed. CEC ( Bhopal ) elected should have completed the elections for which the CEC has been elected but failed to complete his responsibilities as per MOA of the association. Some persons who want to contest elections of HQ are also responsible for these disputes. A huge amount of the association and individuals is spent in advocates fees etc. he is also of considered opinion that even if you take three members of their group and two from our who will take the guarantee of fair elections, the members who are approaching courts and registrar of societies are the main culprits. The members of our association use their own wisdom to judge who is working for the builders interest. The persons who are very active on whatsapp groups should also give some solution and stop alleging the members of our group. You may take two persons each from both groups but even then I am sure that fair elections cannot be done. Since the other group of is not attending meetings there is no use of any such meetings.

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** stated that DRRC is statutory body to resolve grievances of all the builder members of the association. Attempts to resolve issues / disputes is a continuous process and have to come to an end. The organisation cannot be left as such. Both the groups have lost trust on each other.

**Sh N K Gupta** Jhansi Branch stated that when once the dispute arises due to non-adherence to the MOA the CEC elected at Bhopal was dissolved and another CEC was constituted at New Delhi by the council, there opened a flood of court cases. He stated that In his view it's not a group but only very few people who started this which was not required. The Hon,able court appointed a mediator for which a huge amount Rs 04.00 Lakh was spent which builders have subscribed. The builders pan India are not in any group they want smooth functioning of the association to resolve their day to day problems with the department. On this platform of DRRC we should convene a council or general body meeting to make arrangement to resolve all issues. The CEC lead by Sh Parveen Mahana is not ready to compromise at any option given by us. if they are the only competent ones then why they could., not conduct elections rather they totally destructed our MOA. Today also it's a very good attempt , we should resolve today to convene a meeting to make some suitable arrangement in the



association to meet day to day working of branches and HQ . or finally a administrator should be appointed.

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** again stated that Mr Lalit Goel and Mr N K Gupta here in above have also proposed options for the amicable solution but here he wish to share that DRRC chairman has discussed the complete issue in length with all the invites of this meeting which includes Sh Amit Bhaduri, Sh Parveen Mahana, Sh Naveen Yadav, Sh Amritpal Singh Delhi Branch ( who refused to attend this meeting ) , Sh Chetan Tyagi besides all present here . Mr G S Mago was in continuous communication with me till 09.30 am today on WhatsApp but he also chooses to absent himself in this meeting, besides this I talked to Sh G K Gupta, Jaisalmer Branch Sh Brizinder Singh Gwalior branch and many others. Proposing them that if all the issues arise after elections declared by CEC ( Sh Parveen Mahana & Others ) and dispute arises due to non-updating of membership list of branches by the HQ and correcting HQ data as per the decisions of council, not going against the provisions of MOA, provisions then there is no problem anywhere in continuing og CEC Bhopal. But all of them absenting themselves from this meeting is conveying a different message that as Sh Lalit Goel and Sh N K Gupta stated only few peoples are not interested to resolve this issue and they are diversifying them from the amicable solution due to their egos.

**Sh Atul Prashar** again stated that he after the CEC elections held at Bhopal he approached the HQ and copy to DRRC, Mr Rajeev Chowdhry was the first man to approach the DRRC against these elections. He further stated that he has made complaints against the Hony Gen secy Sh Sandeep Srivastava but no action has been taken. The EC election at Bhopal was not valid as per MOA, how President agreed to selection of two EC and one of them had a tinted pass i.e. Mr Amit Bhaduri . he is terminating President and DRRC chairman . I request to take action against Mr Amit Bhaduri who is hijacking the HQ digitally. Mr Atul stated however he has approached and exhausted the internal mechanism of our association almost all were addressed and in complaints against Sh Sandeep Srivastava DRRC has not taken action against them. I have approached Registrar of societies also. When DRRC gave the decision of my membership and CEC ( Sh Parveen Mahana , Sh Amit Bhaduri Sh Naveen Yadav ) denied my voting right so he was compelled to approach High Court. I have also given Complaints against Sh Balbir Singh Viz and election notice circulated by Sh Amit Bhaduri.

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** further stated that after the court orders dated 14 Aug 20025 a lot of activities have been carried out by the CEC ( Sh Parveen Mahana , Sh Amit Bhaduri Sh Naveen Yadav ) and other activities have been carried out by HQ the detail of which is also shared her in above.

**Sh Ranbir Bawa** also stated that there is no use of these meetings as other group is not ready for any resolution.

**Sh Pramod Tomar** also stated that until the opposite group is not attending the mediation meetings and showing their adamant attitude and interfering in Delhi branch elections , a suitable decision should be taken, Builders are suffering and elections should be held as early as possible.

**Sh V P Sanjeev** stated that all are interested in early resolution. With Mr Lalit Goel and Mr N K Gupta he fully agrees. We have elected the President and Hony Gen Secy but they have not attended this meeting when we are discussing problems of builders and association is pushed down to earth, both these should have come. Hony Gen Secy in the past has always kept himself from council meeting, DRRC meeting and never bothered to fulfil his responsibilities and President also not attending this

meeting, whereas he has gone to attend Coimbatore branch meeting. But not attending this meeting shows intend of both President and Hony Gen Secy of 23-25. They are enjoying the comfort of their posts. They are not bothering for eMB, Non availability of funds, renewals etc. Certain past presidents and office bearers are enjoying their status. If other members were terminated why the action against President and Hony Gen Secy should be taken who are running away from their responsibilities. They should resign now. And after expiry of their term they are also ordinary members. A council / general body should be called to make some alternate arrangements and appoint Administrator.

**Sh Lalit Goel** stated that instead of blaming others we should understand that till court cases are not withdrawn or any court decision, election cannot be held. He again stated that two persons from each group be taken and elections should be held or at the most the senior most past President Sh Rajesh Behl may be requested to join the EC. The prestige of Mr G S Mag, Mr Lakhbir Singh, Sh V P Sanjeev, Sh Rajeev Chowdhry Sh Parveen Mahana be maintained. His aim is that complete elections should be held and he again stated whatever he stated earlier here in before.

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** again concluded statement of Sh Lalit Goel that elections should be held, communication with all applicants / petitioner and respondent should be made. A administrator may be appointed by the court as already more than seven eight attempts of mediation has already failed. DRRC is attempting this attempt to resolve the disputes. DRRC will take its decision on this and will take all possible steps to resolve this. DRRC has already conveyed that if the other group is ready to go ahead with the MOA provisions, all petitioners / respondents will be supporting them, but this is very unfortunate that the other group has not attended the meeting and neither shown any intend to do so.

**Sh Rajeev Chowdhry** again stated that the issue to derail President is condemnable and nothing will happen in one of the court case there is already an contempt on Mr G S Mago and Sh Lakhbir Singh. If you can conduct elections you may face contemp.

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** Stated that though we are not restricting anybody to say whatever he wishes to but the things should be in the parameters of decency and legally sustainable. Moreover every decision after interpreting MOA provisions. Ready only favouring part to oneself is not acceptable.

**Sh Harpal Singh** Bareilly branch stated that we the members of Bareilly branch tried for a free and fair elections had EC ( Sh Parveen Mahana, Sh Amit Bhaduri Sh Naveen Yadav ) adhered to the MOA the elections could have been conducted. For these we cannot blame the office bearers team here. But the other group members since the HQ elections in 2023 have circulated various unlawful letter including Award / order of Sh Balbir Singh Vij the then DRRC chairman to reconduct the elections and Mr Amit Bhaduroi also issued a notice to conduct re-elections which is very condemnable.

**Mr Peeyush Misra** stated that he wants to submit that court cases should be drawn and a council meeting or general body meeting be convened at HQ level or DRRC may take over the HQ and start functioning within the frame of MOA.

**Mr Atul Arora** stated that on requisition of 15-20 members to call a council or general body meeting be convened with any name otherwise he does not agree with the proposal that the CEC Sh Parveen Mahana, Sh Amit Bhaduri Sh Naveen Yadav ) be given the baton to conduct elections. Fair elections

cannot be conducted. Actually Mr Amit Bhaduri was the man who was conducting elections with view that whatever he is doing is correct.

**Mr R M Sanghvi** stated in reply to Sh Atul Arora that nobody has given any such proposal rather all are of the view that no such proposal should be given as now nobody trust them.

**Sh Atul Prashar** again stated that Sh Lalit Goel has given a very sentimental statement. If Lalit Ji is not contesting he will also not contest the elections in the interest of association. He stated that he appreciates the efforts of DRRC to resolve the disputes and this is very much legal and there is no contempt and he also offered that he is ready to take his court case back if this can resolve the issues. He also stated that he is totally with the DRRC and appreciate the efforts of all who are making efforts to resolve the issue. And it is only DRRC which can resolve the disputes.

**Sh Parvesh Mittal** also stated that there is no use of such meetings when opposite group is not attending and not showing any intend to solve the disputes. Other group is not interested in any agreement the chairman of EC Bhopal has never appeared in any meeting. One man has spoiled the complete association. Today also we have tried but opposite group has not turned up

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** Stated that we cannot compel any individual to attend the meeting. They ( other group also ) all agree to the existence of DRRC . They are continuously writing letters , emails etc to DRRC from last two years. The other group undertake to agree to adhere to the provisions of MOA .

**Sh Kumar Ashok** stated that a EC was elected in Bhopal , there was some problem in his working and another EC on 02 June 2025 CEC was s elected due to some disputes . He suggested that another council meeting be called and a admittatur be appointed or another EC be elected .

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** stated that a meeting should be held and an administrator be appointed. Sh Kumar Ashok specifically gave a very good solution. Sh Papinder Gujral also appreciated his proposals. He said even if any proposal is given, some one will again go to the court and there is not end to it.

Since everyone present there submitted their views, DRRC Chairman requested them to discuss second point of agenda regarding termination of the firm M.S Rahul Construction represented through Sh Ranvir Chahar..

**Sh Ramvir Chaher** stated that. He has full faith in DRRC. This is a personal matter with Sh Ashok Upadhyay. He is not paying him back Rs Five lakhs and the hot talks is in a Holi Milan function conducted in individual capacity and not on any platform of association. Since Mr Ashok Upadhaya is leader he changed individual dispute to an association dispute.

**Sh Ashok Upadhayay** stated that Mr Ramvir Chahhar has slapped me in the Holi Milan function and he is continuously insulting me. And threatening me. Mr Ramvir lost the elections of Agra Branch . and he has not taken any money from Mr Ramvir Chahar . Sh Ranvir is a strong man and he had no issue other than this. he is insulting me everywhere. He was sentimentally stated that I am hurt.

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** stated that the matter was brought into the knowledge of DRRC in which the firm M/S Rahul Construction was terminated in Jodhpur council meeting. Sh Ramvir Chahar approached the ROS and during a meeting the then President Sh G S Mago stated that since the matter is of Agra Branch he will resolve the issue within the AGRA branch. But now Mr Ramvir has stated that he has visited several times the house of Sh Mago to resolve the issue, bit nothing had been resolved neither

Mr Ashok Upadhayay returned back the amount Rs 5 Lakhs nor my termination has been revoked. This is my personal dispute where Mr Upadhayay is giving it an association matter.

As per the reply sent by Agra Branch the termination is under sec 9 (d ) of MOA. Mr Upadhayay stated that the same has been amended through an amendment letter that it is under ( f) . Sh Lakhbir Singh Stated that please forward the same to DRRC . DRRC doesn't want to interfere in the personal issues of two member of the association of a branch. Sh Upadhayay stated that it is in 9 (f) sent through amendment.

**Mr Lalit Goel** stated that this is personal matter in between two members of branch. We are nobody to interfere in this. The DRRC will decide on facts. Mr Lalit Goek stated that this matter be decided in the next council meeting.

**Sh Lakhbir Singh** stated that the matter will be referred to next regular Council meeting.

Concluding the meeting Sh Lakhbir Singh stated that since the time of meeting is already over and the issues have been discussed in a very cordial manner The decision on the matter will be taken by the DRRC in the meeting which will be held on Sunday 28 Dec 2025. All DRRC members agreed and the meeting was adjourned.

Meeting ended with a good note.

The office secretary was requested to forward the court case details and minutes of all the meetings starting from Gwalior to Bhopal meeting

Since this meeting was held on VC all the members of DRRC authorized Sh Lakhbir Singh chairman DRRC 23-25 to sign on their behalf.

Sincerely



(Lakhbir Singh)

Chairman DRRC , 2023-2025 , [lakhbirconstructions@rediffmail.com](mailto:lakhbirconstructions@rediffmail.com) .

**Office Secy :** To publish the minutes on official website of MES BAI.